

Cumulative Table of Cases

Connecticut Reports

Volume 329

(Replaces Prior Cumulative Table)

Arciniega v. Feliciano	293
<i>Writ of mandamus; counterclaim; whether party lacked standing to advance counterclaim; statutory aggrievement, discussed; whether acceptance by election officials of petitions bearing allegedly incorrect address of candidate constitutes ruling of election official pursuant to statute (§ 9-329a).</i>	
Beale v. Martins (Order) (See Rutter v. Janis).	904
Brown v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	901
Carrion v. Commissioner of Correction (Order).	907
Cator v. Commissioner of Correction (Order).	902
Ferreira v. Martins (Order) (See Rutter v. Janis)	904
Fiano v. Old Saybrook Fire Co. No. 1, Inc. (Order).	910
Gilchrist v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	908
GMAC Mortgage, LLC v. Demelis (Order)	903
Henderson v. Commissioner of Correction (Order).	911
Hirschfeld v. Machinist (Order)	913
In re Athena C. (Order).	911
Jepsen v. Camassar (Order)	909
Jobe v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	906
Johnson v. Commissioner of Correction (Order)	909
JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. v. Healey (Order)	912
OneWest Bank, N.A. v. Frey (Order)	907
Osborn v. Waterbury (Order)	901
Puente v. Progressive Northwestern Ins. Co. (Order)	913
Rutter v. Janis (Order)	904
Samelko v. Kingstone Ins. Co.	249
<i>Action pursuant to statute (§ 38a-321) subrogating plaintiffs to insured's rights under automobile insurance policy with defendant insurer; whether trial court properly granted defendant's motion to dismiss for lack of personal jurisdiction; whether exercising personal jurisdiction over defendant satisfied corporate long arm statute (§ 33-929 [f] [1]) providing for jurisdiction over foreign corporation on cause of action arising out of contract to be performed in Connecticut; claim that insurance policy was contract to be performed in Connecticut because defendant promised to defend and indemnify insured nationwide; claim that due process clause of fourteenth amendment to federal constitution was offended by exercising personal jurisdiction over defendant.</i>	
Skakel v. Commissioner of Correction	1
<i>Habeas corpus; ineffective assistance of counsel; failure to investigate and call potential alibi witness; motion for reconsideration en banc of decision of this court reversing judgment of habeas court, which granted habeas petition; propriety of adding seventh panel member to consider motion for reconsideration en banc when original panel member has retired from Judicial Branch; whether trial counsel's failure to investigate whether potential alibi witness could provide testimony that was favorable to petitioner's alibi defense was unreasonable and, therefore, constituted deficient performance; whether trial counsel's deficient performance resulted in prejudice to petitioner; whether there was reasonable probability that outcome of petitioner's criminal trial would have been different if trial counsel had located potential alibi witness and had presented his testimony; partial alibis, discussed; strength of state's case against petitioner, discussed.</i>	
Stanley v. Taylor (Order)	909
State v. Abraham (Order).	908
State v. Acampora (Order)	903
State v. Acker (Order)	910
State v. Andaz (Order)	901
State v. Artiano (Order).	906
State v. Bagnaschi (Order)	912

State v. Brown (Order)	913
State v. Castillo	311
<i>Attempt to commit robbery first degree; attempt to commit robbery second degree; motion to suppress; certification from Appellate Court; whether Appellate Court correctly determined that nearly seventeen year old defendant was not in custody for purposes of Miranda v. Arizona (384 U.S. 436) when police interrogated him in living room of his apartment; factors to be considered in determining whether suspect is in custody for purposes of Miranda, discussed; claim that court should exercise its supervisory authority over administration of justice and adopt per se rule requiring that juvenile waiver forms include language that waiver may apply in adult criminal proceedings if case is transferred from juvenile docket.</i>	
State v. Dijmarescu (Order)	912
State v. Grajales (Order)	910
State v. Jordan	272
<i>Assault second degree; self-defense; motion to preclude evidence; certification from Appellate Court; whether Appellate Court correctly concluded that trial court improperly excluded victim's subsequent domestic violence convictions, which were offered by defendant as evidence that victim initiated confrontation with defendant, when conduct forming basis for victim's convictions occurred subsequent to charged incident; whether Appellate Court correctly concluded that trial court's preclusion of evidence of victim's convictions was harmless error.</i>	
State v. Kaminski (Order)	905
State v. Kukucka (Order)	905
State v. Mara (Order)	902
State v. Moore (Order)	905
State v. Parnoff	386
<i>Disorderly conduct; certification from Appellate Court; claim that Appellate Court incorrectly concluded that evidence was insufficient to sustain defendant's conviction; whether defendant's statement that he would get gun and shoot two water company employees unless they left his property constituted fighting words that are unprotected by first amendment to federal constitution; claim that defendant's comment would cause reasonable addressee in position of water company employees to respond with imminent violence; whether subjective analysis of reaction of water company employees supported this court's independent conclusion that average water company employee would not react immediately and violently to defendant's statements.</i>	
State v. Rivera (Order)	907
State v. Tierinni	289
<i>Sexual assault second degree; risk of injury to child; whether Appellate Court correctly concluded that defendant had waived claim regarding his right to be present during sidebar conferences at which certain evidentiary objections were discussed, insofar as he agreed to trial court's use of that procedure.</i>	
Teixeira v. Home Depot, Inc. (Order)	903
Williams v. New Haven	366
<i>Workers' compensation; whether Compensation Review Board properly upheld decision of workers' compensation commissioner denying defendant's motion to dismiss plaintiff employee's statutory (§ 31-290a) claim of retaliatory discharge; whether plaintiff's claim was precluded by collateral estoppel because it had been decided in prior arbitration under collective bargaining agreement; claim that Genovese v. Gallo Wine Merchants, Inc. (226 Conn. 475), which interpreted statute (§ 31-51bb) providing that no employee shall be denied right to pursue, in court of competent jurisdiction, cause of action arising under state statute solely because employee is covered by collective bargaining agreement, did not apply because plaintiff's claim was filed in forum other than Superior Court; whether phrase in § 31-51bb that employee can pursue claim in court of competent jurisdiction plainly and unambiguously manifested intent to apply exclusively to claims pursued in Superior Court; claim that § 31-51bb had been satisfied because plaintiff filed application to vacate prior arbitration award in Superior Court.</i>	